

Remarks

The applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that the present application is in condition for allowance except for certain formal matters. In light of the amendments set forth above, and the following remarks, the applicants submit that the issues identified in the Ex Parte Quayle Action have been addressed, and that the application is in condition for allowance. An explanation of how the issues identified in the Ex Parte Quayle Action are addressed is set forth below.

In the Ex Parte Quayle Action, the Examiner stated that the reply filed on July 8, 2009 ("Previous Reply") had page numbering which jumped from 2 to 6, and that it was ambiguous whether the drawings filed with that reply were intended to be treated as pages 3-5, or if the drawings referred to in the Previous Reply were simply missing. Appropriate correction/explanation was required. In response, the applicants submit the explanation that the drawings filed on July 8, 2009 were intended to be treated as pages 3-5 of the Previous Reply, and, therefore, the drawings referred to in the Previous Reply were not missing. Accordingly, the applicants submit that the first issue identified in the Ex Parte Quayle Action is resolved.

In the Ex Parte Quayle Action, the Examiner stated that there was ambiguity with respect to the title of the instant application, with PALM stating that the title is "Method and System of Determining the Absolute Velocity of a Vehicle" and with the first page of the specification of record stating that the title is "Determination of a Vehicle's Absolute Velocity". The Ex Parte Quayle Action stated that in the event the title indicated in PALM is incorrect, amendment should be made to correct that title. Appropriate correction/explanation was required. In response, the applicants submit the explanation that the title as indicated by PALM is correct, and that a different title was listed on the first page of the specification of record through an inadvertent oversight. The amendments to the specification include an amendment to replace the reference to the incorrect title on the first page of the specification of record with the correct title. Accordingly, the applicants submit that the second issue identified in the Ex Parte Quayle Action is resolved.

In the Ex Parte Quayle Action, the Examiner stated that the Amendments to the Specification section of the Previous Reply contained the amendment “The paragraph set forth on page 5, line 17...” but the paragraph being replaced was located on page 5, line 15 of the specification. Appropriate correction was required. In response, the applicants have submitted an amendment to the specification which identifies the paragraph being replaced as being located on page 5, line 15 of the specification. Accordingly, the applicants submit that the third issue identified in the Ex Parte Quayle Action is resolved.

In the Ex Parte Quayle Action, the Examiner stated that the Cross Reference to Related Applications section of the Previous Reply did not indicate that the application is a 371 of the cited PCT application. Appropriate correction was required. In response, the applicants have amended the paragraph under the section heading CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS from the Previous Reply to indicate that the current application is the national stage commencement under 35 U.S.C. § 371 of the cited PCT application. Accordingly, the applicants submit that the fourth issue identified in the Ex Parte Quayle Action is resolved.

In the Ex Parte Quayle Action, the Examiner stated that the incorporation by reference to PCT application PCT/EP2003/007282 was ineffective because an incorporation by reference statement added after an application’s filing date is not effective because no new matter can be added to an application after its filing date. In response, while no new matter was believed or intended to have been introduced by the incorporation by reference to PCT/EP2003/007282, the applicants have amended the paragraph under the section heading CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS to remove the incorporation by reference statement. Accordingly, the applicants submit that the fifth issue identified in the Ex Parte Quayle Action is resolved.

In the Ex Parte Quayle Action, the Examiner stated that the Abstract filed with the Previous Reply was objected to because there is no provision in the MPEP for footnoting in an Abstract. Appropriate correction was required. In response, the applicants have submitted an amendment to the abstract with the footnote text set forth in the Previous Reply struck through to indicate that it should not be included in

the abstract. Accordingly, the applicants submit that the sixth issue identified in the Ex Parte Quayle Action is resolved.

In the Ex Parte Quayle Action, the Examiner stated that it appeared as though a semicolon and the word “and” were missing at the end of part (b) and before part (c) in claim 13. Appropriate correction was required. In response, the applicants have amended claim 13 to include a semicolon and the word “and” at the end of part (b) before part (c). Accordingly, the applicants submit that the seventh issue identified in the Ex Parte Quayle Action is resolved.

In addition to making the changes described above, the applicants have amended part (b) of claim 17 to remove a comma which was inadvertently not struck through in the amendments made in the Previous Reply. The applicants submit that no new matter is introduced by any of the amendments and that all amendments, except for the amendment to claim 17, were made at the request of the Examiner to address the issues raised in the Ex Parte Quayle Action.

In light of the foregoing, it is submitted that the present application is now in form for allowance. Accordingly, allowance of present claims is earnestly solicited.

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment of fees to Frost Brown Todd LLC Deposit Account No. 06-2226.

Respectfully submitted,
Fredrik Gustavsson et al

By /William S. Morriss/
William S. Morriss Reg. No. 60,477
Attorney for Applicants
FROST BROWN TODD LLC
2200 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 651-6915